

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,593	02/22/2002	Akira Hamamatsu	16869P-041800US	5740		
20350 7	7590 06/24/2005	EXAMINER				
	AND TOWNSEND	TRINH,	TRINH, HOA B			
EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	2814				
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/082,593	3		HAMAMATSU ET AL.				
		Examiner			Art Unit				
	94	Vikki H. Trir			2814				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 07	Anril 2005							
	<u> </u>								
<i>'</i>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application P	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·						
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
1.⊠	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
2) Notice of Dr	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	!	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement

Applicant's amendment filed on April 07, 2005, has been considered. Claims 1-8, 10, and 14-15 are pending. Claims 11-13 and 16-20 have been canceled.

Drawings

- 1. The drawings were received on 04/07/05. These drawings are acceptable.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "spatial filter" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 1, 4, 7, 14, the step of "setting by using design information, an inspection condition, which differs in a comparing method and a threshold level based on a unit size of repeated patterns formed on a semiconductor device" is new matter.

In claim 1, line 6-7, the phrase "device with an optical inspection tool to defect defects under said inspection condition set by using said design information" is new matter.

In claim 1, lines 9-10, the step of "classifying defects and outputting information of classified defect with information on whether said defect is on optically transparent film or not" is new matter.

In claim 3, lines 2-3, the step of "controlling a pitch of a spatial filter which cuts off light diffracted from patterns formed on said semiconductor device" is new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Admitted Prior Art (APA), specification pages 2-4 and figure 1.

As to claims 1, 4, 7, 10, APA discloses a method for setting inspection conditions for semiconductor devices having the steps of setting for accessing a design database/information (step 4, fig. 1) based on a product name and a process name entered by a user (step 4, fig. 1), setting a first inspection condition (step 5, fig. 1) using chip matrix and chip size data (step 5, fig. 1) which are obtained by accessing a design database (step 4, fig. 1) via communication means (step 5, fig. 1); inspecting the devices with the inspection condition (step 5, fig. 1); revising (step 10, fig. 1) said inspection condition by using data obtained by the inspecting; and inspecting semiconductor devices using said revised inspection condition (step 11 and step 12, fig. 1) and then outputting results of the inspection(step 13, fig. 1). See figure 1.

Note: the rejection of claims 1, 4, 7, 14 are examined with interpretation of the limitations described in the original specification.

Note: the term "revise" is to mean "(v. t.) to review, alter, and amend; as, to revise statutes; to revise an agreement; to revise a dictionary".

http://www.onelook.com/?other=web1913&w=Revise

•

Page 5

Note: the term "classifying" is to mean the same as "setting the areas to be inspected and to be excluded according to step 8. Also the term "classify" is defined as "—v.t., -fied, -fy*ing.

1. to arrange or organize by classes; order according to class. 2. to assign a classification to

(information, a document, etc.). Cf. classification (def. 5)." See

http://www.infoplease.com/dictionary/classify

As to claims 2,5, 14, the method includes the inspection conditions (step 5, APA, fig. 1) set that includes the information whether or not an area for inspection is in an area in which false alarms tend to occur (APA, step 8, figure 1). This allows the defects detected to be classified according to areas to be included or excluded (step 8, fig. 1). The method includes the step of automatically setting is performed. APA, step 11, fig. 1, shows the step of setting an inspection sensitivity to a level to suit design rules for each area within a semiconductor device chip.

As to claims 6, and 15, inspection conditions are revised (step 10, fig. 1) so that faulty matter is detected based on the results of a review and classification of defects detected during inspection of the devices. See figure 1 and page 4, second paragraph.

As to claim 8, the images are displayed on a screen. See specification, page 2, first paragraph.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 07, 2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1719. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (571) 272-1705. The office fax number is 703-872-9306.

Any request for information regarding to the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Also, status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Pair. In addition, status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. If you have questions

Application/Control Number: 10/082,593

Art Unit: 2814

pertaining to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

HOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7